

Appeals Determined

Reference	Parish	Location	Description	Committee/ Delegated	Decision
E/09/0251/Ful	Urchfont	Garden Cottage 23, High Street Wedhampton	New Garage Change of use of part of paddock	Committee	Dismissed
E/09/0254/Ful	Urchfont	Garden Cottage 23, High Street Wedhampton	Stable block Retention of access	Committee	Partially Allowed
E/09/0619/Ful	Marston	Pound Cottage Plough Lane	Erection of dwelling	Delegated	Dismissed
E/09/0510/Out	Devizes	1, Longfield Walk	Erection of dwelling	Delegated	Dismissed
E/09/1343/Ful	Collingbourne Kingston	Yeoman Cottage Brunton	Two storey Rear extension	Delegated	Allowed
E/09/1405/Ful	West Lavington	Pagnell Cottage Littleton Panell	Rear dormer window	Delegated	Dismissed
E/09/111/S73	Ogbourne St George	Lower Upham Airfield	Removal of conditions	Committee	Dismissed

Notes:

Copies of the Inspector's decision letters are automatically sent to the relevant Division Member by the Council's Planning Administration Team and are published on the Council's public web site. Copies are available for any other Councillor on request.

Appeal Performance April 1st 2009-31st March 2010

Between April 1st 2009 and March 31st 2010, the first full year of Wiltshire Council, decisions have been received on six enforcement appeals and 34 planning appeals in the area covered by the Eastern Area Planning Committee. The results are set out below:

Type of appeal	Number determined	Number dismissed	Number allowed	Percentage dismissed
Enforcement Appeals	5	4	1	80.00%
Listed building Enforcement Appeals	1	1	0	100%
Planning Appeals	33	25	8	75.76%
Listed Building Appeals	1	1	0	100%
Tree Preservation Order Appeals	1	1	0	100%

These results compare very favourably with the national average for local planning authorities in England and Wales which in the last few years has consistently been between 65-66% of appeals dismissed.

All the enforcement appeals related to decisions taken under delegated powers. Of the 34 planning appeals (including the one listed building appeal), 29 concerned decisions made under delegated powers and 5 concerned decisions made by the planning committee. Of the 5 appeals against decisions taken by the committee, three were allowed and two were dismissed.

Applications for costs against the Council were made on 5 appeals. Four were dismissed and one was allowed, with an award of £1,547 in relation to evidence relating to the impact of a development on the health of a tree. The Council successfully applied for costs on one occasion, resulting in an award of £443.